



Structure of the European Upper Mantle Revealed by Adjoint Tomography

Hejun Zhu, Ebru Bozdag, Daniel Peter and Jeroen Tromp
Theoretical & Computational Seismology research group
Department of Geosciences, Princeton University
May 25th, 3rd QUEST Workshop, Slovakia

Acknowledgement: All current & former students and postdoctoral scholars in Tromp's group

Department of Geosciences



WARNING! Hot materials





Outline

I. Introduction

2. Setup adjoint tomography

Data selection

Source inversion (see Ebru's talk)

Initial model EU00 (crust & mantle)

Model parameterization

Misfit functions

Misfit gradients

- 3. New European upper mantle model EU30
- 4. Model Comparisons
- 5. Conclusion

PRINCETO UNIVERSIT







global.shakemovie.princeton.edu

Spectral-element method; 3D Earth model: S362ANI+Crust2.0; Shortest period: 17 seconds

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences

Modern numerical simulations and Imaging the Earth





Spectral-element method; 3D Earth model: S362ANI+Crust2.0; Shortest period: 17 seconds

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences

Modern numerical simulations and Imaging the Earth





Spectral-element method; 3D Earth model: S362ANI+Crust2.0; Shortest period: 17 seconds

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences









- Maghrebides-Calabrian-Apennines-Alps arc







- Maghrebides-Calabrian-Apennines-Alps arc

- Carpathian-Vrancea-Dinarides arc















Dataset





Sesame cluster PICSciE www.princeton.edu/researchcomputting



earthquakes	stations	iterations	simulations	CPU hours	measurements
190	745	30	17,100	2.3 million	123,205

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



SPECFEM3D mesh for EPcrust











S362ANI at 75 km depth





Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Radial anisotropic sensitivity kernels







Radial anisotropic sensitivity kernels







Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Radial anisotropic sensitivity kernels





Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Misfit function for EU00





Np: number of measurements per event Ns: number of events Nc: number of contributions

Department of Geosciences



EU00 versus iterative models at 75 km







Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



EU00 versus iterative models at 75 km









Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





Department of Geosciences





Central graben

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





Department of Geosciences





Central graben Armorican massif

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





30°N





Central graben

Armorican massif

Pyrenees

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





30°N

Department of Geosciences



Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





Tornquist-Teisseyre Zone

Central graben

Armorican massif

Pyrenees

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





Central graben

Armorican massif

Pyrenees

Adria plate

Triple junction

Cyprus arc



Department of Geosciences



Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Geological features of EU30 at 75 km









Department of Geosciences



Geological features of EU30 at 75 km



Tornquist-Teisseyre Zone

Central graben Tyrrhenian sea Armorican massif Pyrenees Adria plate Triple junction Cyprus arc



Department of Geosciences



of EU30 at 75 km



Tornquist-Teisseyre Zone

Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea			
Armorican massif	Massif Central			
Pyrenees				
Adria plate				
Triple junction				
Cyprus arc				



Geological features

Department of Geosciences



Department of Geosciences


Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





30°N.

Department of Geosciences



Geological features of EU30 at 75 km



Tornquist-Teisseyre Zone

Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	



★ CSVF: Central Slovakian Volcanic Field

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	CSVF

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





★ CSVF: Central Slovakian Volcanic Field

Hejun Zhu

³0°_N

Department of Geosciences



Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	CSVF

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





★ CSVF: Central Slovakian Volcanic Field

Hejun Zhu

30°N

Department of Geosciences



Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	CSVF
	Pannonian basin

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





★ CSVF: Central Slovakian Volcanic Field

Hejun Zhu

30°N

Department of Geosciences



Geological features of EU30 at 75 km

10°N



Tornquist-Teisseyre Zone

Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	CSVF
	Pannonian basin



>₀°_N.

★ CSVF: Central Slovakian Volcanic Field

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences



Central graben	Tyrrhenian sea
Armorican massif	Massif Central
Pyrenees	Rhine graben
Adria plate	Harz hotspot
Triple junction	Bohemian massif
Cyprus arc	CSVF
	Pannonian basin
	Anatolian plate

Geological features of EU30 at 75 km





★ CSVF: Central Slovakian Volcanic Field

Hejun Zhu

30°N

Department of Geosciences



Hellenic arc









Hellenic arc







Complicated triple slab structures







Complicated triple slab structures









More cross sections













More cross sections







More cross sections













Department of Geosciences



Department of Geosciences









Model Comparisons, not easy !

I. Body waves versus Surface waves (blind men and an elephant)
I. I. D. reference model (PREM versus IASP91 versus STW105)
Shear wave versus Compressional wave (apple versus orange)
Color scheme

Department of Geosciences













D









Conclusion

 3D starting models + 3D forward simulations (Spectral-Element method) + 3D Fréchet derivatives (Adjoint method)

Many interesting structures naturally emerge from the smooth background model

Bridging the gap between high-resolution body-wave tomography and lower resolution inversions based on long period body waves, surface waves and free oscillations

Model comparisons is challenging but satisfactory





Depth changes from source inversions



Effects of three-dimensional Earth structure on CMT earthquake parameters

Vala Hjörleifsdóttir*, Göran Ekström

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, 61 Route 9W, Palisades, NY 10964, USA




new depth [km]

Depth changes from source inversions

50

b



- 250

200

150

100

50

0

250

200

 $\Delta depth (km) = 5$

°4'0

50°E

0

-5

-10

-15

60°E

New CMT depth (km)

New CMT depth (km) 40 30 а 20 Effects of three-dimensional Earth structure on CMT earthquake parameters Vala Hjörleifsdóttir*, Göran Ekström 10 Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, 61 Route 9W, Palisades, NY 10964, USA 0 20 30 10 40 50 70 0 Global CMT depth (km) 60 35 С 50 Event Number **Q** 28 21 14 100 150 50 40 Global CMT depth (km) 0 10 5 15 -15 -10 -5 0 30 ∆depth (km) 20 BMS 0 S 10 S_{rs} 0 00 5°°4 20 40 60 true depth [km] °0°, 20. N 50° W 40°W 30°W 40°E (Liu et al 2004 BSSA) 30°E 20[%]W 10⁶W 20°E

Hejun Zhu

Department of Geosciences

Princeton University

0°

10^⁰E