SEISMIC TOMOGRAPHY MEETS MILLIONS OF WAVEFORMS: EXPLOITING DATA REDUNDANCY TO CONTROL ERRORS AND INCREASE RESOLUTION



Sergei Lebedev and Andrew Schaeffer

Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies



DATA

- rapid recent growth in global broadband data coverage
- new generation of upper-mantle models
- millions of broadband waveforms available for use in tomography

TOMOGRAPHY OF THE CRUST AND UPPER MANTLE

- waveform inversion
- inversion of multi-mode phase and group velocities



ERRORS AND UNCERTAINTIES

- errors in data and modelling limit the resolution of the imaging
- source-parameter errors
- station timing and response correction errors
- errors in wave-propagation and sensitivity modelling
- unresolved strong crustal heterogeneity

MAKING THE MODEL SL2013sv

- The dataset: >1 million multimode seismogram fits (750K Rayleigh; 250K Love)
- Automated Multimode Inversion of surface, S, multiple S waves (Lebedev et al. 2005)
 - waveform fitting in time-frequency windows with nearly exact fit within each
 - windows are selected, case-by-case, so that the WKBJ approximation within them is most likely to hold
- **3D reference model** (modified CRUST2 + 1D mantle)
- perturbations in both the crust and mantle are solved for (resolving the crust is more accurate than crustal corrections)
- model parameters include V_{sv}, azimuthal anisotropy, V_P
- beyond ray theory: approximate sensitivity kernels; a mode's phase and its derivatives computed by integration over the kernels

TREATMENT OF ERRORS:

SELECT THE MOST MUTUALLY CONSISTENT DATA

- "outlier analysis" (Lebedev & van der Hilst 2008; Schaeffer and Lebedev 2013):
 - compute a tomographic model
 - check which data is fit the worst by the model; remove them
 - compute an updated tomographic model; repeat
- 750K → 510K seismograms

Crustal structure resolved by surface-wave data



- Anomalies in the crust with respect to (modified) CRUST2.0; in the mantle – with respect to the global average
- In parts of continental crust very large changes from CRUST2.0
- Close match of major anomalies with tectonic boundaries and known structure

Schaeffer & Lebedev, GJI 2013









Schaeffer & Lebedev, submitted, 2013



Subduction zones



Mid-ocean ridges



Ridges + hotspots





C 40



1

4000

4000

2000

H'a

3000

40

-4

G' 40

-8 100 200 200 gebth, km

-4

404-8

-8 100 km 200 th 300 get

-8 100 200 4ebth, km





C'a





Schaeffer & Lebedev, submitted, 2013

1000

2000

3000

Lithospheric Regionalisation



Lithospheric Regionalisation



0° 90° 180° -90°

Global stack of Vs profiles



Schaeffer & Lebedev, submitted, 2013

Anisotropic Structure beneath Tibet and India



±3% ±6%



Transition zone: subducted lithosphere



Dispersion diagram of Rayleigh waves on the Earth



Dispersion diagram of Rayleigh waves on the Earth



Dispersion diagrams of Rayleigh and Love waves





Fundamental and higher-mode phase velocities measured from >1 million seismograms

CONCLUSIONS

errors can reduce the resolution of the imaging

- source parameter errors
- timing and response correction errors
- errors in wave-propagation and sensitivity modelling
- selection of most mutually consistent data works



MODEL SL2013sv

regional-scale tectonic structure imaged globally, in the crust and upper mantle

THE DEPTH OF TECTONICS

- old oceans' mantle is colder than younger oceans' mantle down to ~200 km depth
- geotherms of young and intermediate continents and oceans converge at 200-250 km
- mantle beneath cratons stands out (is colder) down to 250-280 km depth



(Schaeffer & Lebedev, submitted, 2013)